

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,911	03/12/2001	Eric B. Allen	58207.000004 7159	
75	90 02/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Rene A. Vazq		MORAN, MARJORIE A		
Hunton & Williams Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street, 1	N.W.	1631		
Washington, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/802,911	ALLEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	<u> </u>					
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	·	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-56</u> .						
3. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.⊠ Other: <i>Interview Summary</i>		Marjoria A. Marion				
		Mariania A. Maran				

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631 Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new combination of limitations recited in the amendment is a new issue requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument with regard to a "dynamic" database is not convincing. Applicant sets forth several possible meanings for a "dynamic" database as exemplified by the specification, but does not explicitly define "dynamic" with regard to a database anywhere, nor does applicant explicitly set forth the metes and bounds of a "dynamic" database. Applicant is reminded that limitations from the specification (e.g. in examples) cannot be "read into" the claims. Arguments with regard to rejections under 35 USC 102 are directed to proposed amended claims. As the amendment has not been entered, the arguments are not persuasive.